



PATENT
Customer No. 22,852
Attorney Docket No. 06556.0003-03

IFW
B

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
)
John POLK) Group Art Unit: 3691
)
Application No.: 09/973,865) Examiner: Hani M. Kazimi
)
Filed: October 11, 2001) Confirmation No.: 3546
)
For: METHODS AND APPARATUS)
FOR CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENT)
PROCESSING AND CHILD)
SUPPORT DISBURSEMENT)
PROCESSING)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R.
§ 1.97(b)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, and as required by M.P.E.P. § 2001.06(c), Applicant previously notified the Office of a litigation recently filed involving U.S. Patent Nos. 5,946,669, 6,119,107, 7,225,155, 6,567,821, and 7,072,909. The inventor of the above-referenced invention is also listed as an inventor on the patents involved in the litigation. Furthermore, the present application is a continuation of an application that matured into U.S. Patent No. 7,225,155, which is a continuation of an application that matured into U.S. Patent No. 6,119,107, which is a continuation of an application that matured into U.S. Patent No. 5,946,669, filed September 30, 1997.

05/28/2009 DENHNU1 00000051 09973865

#1 FC:1886

180.00 OP

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(b), Applicant brings to the attention of the Examiner the listed documents on the attached PTO SB/08 Form. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the filing of a request for continued examination (RCE) under § 1.114, but before the mailing of a first Office action since the RCE in the above-referenced application.

Copies of the listed non-patent literature documents are attached, unless previously attached in a parent application, per M.P.E.P. § 609.04(a)(II).

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the attached form.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claim in the application and Applicant determines that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicant reserves the right to present to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.


Applicant further reserves the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please
charge the fee to Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: May 27, 2009

By: 
Daniel R. Lupo
Reg. No. 59,269
(571) 203-2700